W6429 AMERICAN AGGRESSORS WERE DULY PUNISHED VIETNAM, NORTH MILITARY OPERATIONS COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA NORTH VIETNAMESE PEOPLE'S ARMY 1964 3 16 SD BW CONF. 29 MEN. VIETNAMESE TRACK. FOLLOWING CONFERENCES BETWEEN U.S. OFFICIALS & KHANK GOVERNMENT. U.S. DESTROYER "MADDOX" ENGAGES PATROL BOATS ON 1 & 4 AUGUST 1964 & WAVES OF JET PLANES ATTACK VARIOUS NORTH VIETNAMESE TARGETS, 5 AUGUST. BRAVE ANTI-AIRCRAFT UNITS ON LAND & SEA FIGHT OFF ATTACKERS, DOWNING EIGHT PLANES & CAPTURING ONE PILOT, ALVAREZ, DIVERS, FROGMEN, FISHER-MEN SALVAGE PLANE PARTS WHICH FORM EXTEN-SIVE DISPLAY IN HANOI, NORTH VIETNAMESE INSPECT PILOTS' EFFECTS, ROCKET POD & HATCHING AMMUNITION, EQUIPMENT OF VARIOUS KINDS. HO CHI MINH, VO NGUYEN GIAP, PHAM VAN DONG SPEAK AT RALLY. MASSIVE STREET DEMONSTRATIONS, MATCHED BY INDIGATION RALLIES, PETITIONS, PARADES IN PEKING, TOKYO, MANOI & MANY OTHER CITIES, CONDEMN U.S. ACTIONS, CONFIDENT OF WORLD SUPPORT, NORTH VIETNAMESE CONTINUE WAR EFFORT, DE-TERMINED TO PUNISH AGGRESSORS,

W6719 VIETNAM UNDER FIRE (HI-NO-VIETNAM) CHALLENGING THE AGGRESSOR VIETNAM, NORTH AREA STUDY/MILITARY OPERATIONS PROPAGANDA JAPANESE SOCIALIST PARTY 1966 S 16 SD BW CONF. 30 MIN. JAPANESE TRACK, LENGLISH TRANSLATION AVAILABLET. AS U.S. ESCALATES WAR IN VIETNAM, HER TROOPS KILL, TORTURE, BURN, USE NAPALM, EVEN POISON GAS. LIBERATION FRONT FIGHTERS COUNTER U.S. MOVES, IN-FLICT HEAVY LOSSES. U.S. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE MCNAMARA COMES TO SAIGON TO ESCALATE WAR STILL FURTHER. INSPIRED BY EXECUTION OF NGUYEN VAN TROI IN 1964, LIBERATION FRONT FIGHTS 80,000 ENGAGE-MENTS, LIQUIDATES 400,000 SOLDIERS IN-CLUDING 3,000 AMERICANS. U.S. ATTACKS NORTH, BOMBS VILLAGES, HOMES, HOSPITALS, SCHOOLS, NORTH VIETNAMESE COMPLAINTS TO INTERNATIONAL WATCH COMMITTEE PROVE FRUITLESS. LETTER FROM SOLDIER ON LONELY OUTPOST TYPIES RESOLUTION OF PEOPLE LED BY HO, WHO VISITS ANTI-AIR-CRAFT UNITS, SAM MISSILES HELP IN FIGHT. NATIONAL LIBERATION FRONT & NORTH VIET-NAMESE SHARE COMMON BATTLE OBJECTIVES.

AMERICANS WILL CONTINUE TO DIE IF THEY DO NOT QUIT VIETNAM, NORTH COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA NORTH VIETNAMESE PEOPLE'S ARMY 1965 S 16 SD BW CONF. 17 MIN. VIETNAMESE TRACK, EVERYONE JOINS IN AIR DEFENSE IN NORTH VIETNAM. PEOPLE OF ALL AGES HELP SOLDIERS IMPROVE & CAMOUFLAGE ANTI-AIRCRAFT GUN POSITIONS, TRANSPORT AMMUNITION, DIG PROTECTIVE DITCHES & TUNNELS. U.S. BOMBING OF SCHOOLS & HOSPITALS INCENSES EVERYONE, SOME BOMBS & ROCKETS KILL PIGS & OTHER LIVESTOCK. INTERROGATION OF CAPTURED PILOTS LIKE LOCKHART CONFIRMS U.S. INTENTIONS. IN THEIR ANGER, PEOPLE PREPARE DEMONSTRA-TIONS, HEAR SPEECHES BY PROMINENT NORTH VIETNAMESE. WESTERNERS ATTEND PRESS CON-FERENCE WHERE EFFECTS OF CAPTURED PILOTS APPEAR AS EVIDENCE OF U.S. ACTIONS. ANTI-AIRCRAFT UNIT HERO S RECEIVE DECORATIONS & SPECIAL FLAG FROM HO CHI MINH. EVERYONE HELPS IN FINDING & DEACTIVATING DUD BOMBS & ROCKETS.

CMITH

W6793 VIETNAM WILL WIN THIS WAR VIETNAM, SOUTH AREA STUDY/COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA JAPAN-VIETNAM FRIENDSHIP SOCIETY 1966 S 16 SD BW CONF. 30 MIN. JAPANESE TRACK. PICTURE QUALITY POOR ?. SINCE U.S. NOW USES JAPAN AS BASE FOR AGGRESSION, JAPANESE MAY WELL ASK WHAT'S HAPPENING IN SOUTH VIETNAM. NLF GAINS CONTROL OF 80% OF SOUTH VIETNAM SINCE 1960. FREEDOM & DEMOCRACY PREVAILS IN LIBERATED AREAS, NLF 'S STRUGGLE FURNISHES INCENTIVE TO PEOPLE IN NON-LIBERATED AREAS. PEOPLE IN LIBERATED AREAS CARRY OUT NORMAL PATTERNS OF LIFE. CHILDREN ATTEND SCHOOL. RICE-PLANTING, FISHING, SALT-MAKING, HANDICRAFT, INDEED ALL EFFORTS, CHANNEL INTO SUPPORT FOR WAR. VIET-CONG FIGHTERS TAKE STRATEGIC VILLAGES WHICH PEOPLE DESTROY TO REBUILD. ELECTIONS ARE HELD, VOLUNTEERS ENLIST. MCNAMARA & WESTMORELAND MEET, ESCALATE WAR, CLAIM U.S. PROTECTS DEMOCRACY ALTHOUGH EVERY SOUTH VIETNAMESE KNOWS THEY BUILD MILITARY EMPIRE. NLF SUCCESSES ASSURE EVENTUAL TRIUMPH WHICH INTER-NATIONAL SUPPORT, EVEN IN U.S. ALSO AFFIRMS.

STAT

Approved Factor 102/09 12/143561270580338RD00200140035-3

TO:

ROOM NO. BUILDING Hadrs.

REMARKS:

FROM:

ROOM NO. BUILDING
HIGHER

FROM:

ROOM NO. BUILDING
COM NO. BUILD

REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.

FORM NO .241

STAT

(47)

Approved For Release 2004/02/09: CIA-RDP70B00338R000200140035-3

feel sorry for him. But in the very next scene he is back to his pursur. In the renewed éclat, tracking down a still greater Maffia figure with a still more compelling ingenuity and force, and thereby eliciting a sense of excitement which obliterates any possibility of revulsion or disapproval.

Even the cinematography contributes to this impression; the color is so lush, the range of settings so varied, the sound so resonant, that the reality of splintered bones, crushed testicles, and gushing blood is fairly well obscured.

BOTH FILMS REFLECT the new American ethic: an ethic of aesthetics. It is an ethic that celebrates Stokely Carmichael, William F. Buckley, and Murf the Surf—purveyors all of values that few rational people would share—because of the flair with which they project these values, while it condemns figures with the same values but much less flair, like Rap Brown, George Wallace, and Frank Costello. More than sim-

or through their respective organizations at Victuan situations. Approved For Release 2004/02/09 multifulnious

Extremely little, if anything, that is new or significant emerged from the meeting. My own opposition to the American war in Vietnam continues to be as complete as it had been before-I still see no viable alternative to a victory of the Vietnamese Communists (all other possible alternatives having been polarized out of existence by the ruthless American war) and prefer it immeasurably to the brutal American policy now being pursued. All this, however, not because of what I witnessed in Bratislava but despite it.

Apart from the original organizers, the American contingent consisted in about equal measure of a few religious radicals from the American Friends' Service Committee (AFSC), other Quakers, the Fellowship of Reconciliation (FOR), and one or two off-beat clergymen; young academicians; representatives of the Black Power movement; young community organizers and student organizers of the New Left; a few writers, most of them associated with Left periodicals. This group, in which there were very few trained political technicians or Vietnam experts, was confronted by two Vietnamese delegations who had brought along their own experts in all areas under discussion and their own translators. It soon became clear that the Vietnamese were from very high echelons. At least one of them mentioned in passing that he had been a member of the Victnamese delegation to the Geneva Convention in 1954; all spoke with governmental authority. They were highly disciplined and in their way very competent.

The contrast between the two groups was striking. The Americans represented small factions-in some respects at odds with one another-of a movement on the outermost periphery of American society-whereas the Victnamese were representatives of an effective government in one area and of a para-government in another.

The first day of the conference was set aside for reports from the Americans about the struggle against the war and the conditions for social revolution in this country; the second day for reports from South Vietnam; the third for North ARPKONE de Frankleing sen 2004/02/09 group discussions about special aspects of the

poraneous, quite subjective, and sometimes in conflict with one another. The Vietnamese reports were well-prepared. Each subject was assigned to a single expert. These reports were often written out and mimeographed beforehand and extremely lengthy. (Madame Binh, head of the South Vietnamese delegation, took all of one afternoon and most of the next morning for her opening statement.) They were clearly formulated as quasi-official, diplomatic documents.

YET OUT OF THESE QUASI-OFFICIAL reports no "hard news" whatever emerged. Even someone like myself who knows nothing about Vietnam but what he reads in the newspapers and in a few supplementary sources heard nothing that I had not known beforehand. It was, furthermore, absolutely impossible, even in private conversation, to break through the official propaganda line to which all of the Vietnamese rigorously adhered. This was especially annoying since the Americans had, after all, been selected because they supported the cause of their Vietnamese counterparts-and yet they were addressed as if they had to be indoctrinated from scratch with the crudest tools of persuasion. Two evenings, for example, were set aside for a series of North and South Vietnamese propaganda films that might be effective with Asian or African peasants but surely could not be expected to be persuasive with an even slightly sophisticated group.

Along with some AFSC and FOR people, I spent a long afternoon with the official Buddhist representative of the NLF. We plied him with questions about religious and cultural trends in his country, "third force" personalities known to us, and the facts concerning religious groups in his organization. It was, however, entirely impossible to come to grips with such problems: he insisted, first, on giving us what was in effect a two-hour filibuster, reviewing rudimentary knowledge and views obviously perfectly familiar to us. Anything that did not fit into his picture was either disregarded, condemned as treason and called untypical of the Vietnamese people, or treated as still an open PHAIRDP70B00358R000ZdOP40055-5he

Front had ruled upon it.

In Apparoxed the or Release 2004/02/09: GIA RDP 79B00338R00020014003543 detitude of this gentleman and that of the head of the North Vietnamese lawyers' guild toward the Roman Catholic church. It is perfectly obvious that the church is virtually identified with the American cause in Vietnam. To admit this, however, would be tantamount to a contradiction of the official propaganda line that "all of the Vietnamese people" are arraigned with the NLF and that theirs is a "neutral, popular front." I could not, therefore, extract an anti-Roman-Catholic opinion from either of them. Indeed, the Buddhist representative insisted that a Roman Catholic priest, whom he named, was a member of the NLF Central Committee.

"Is he recognized by Rome?" "Yes, he has been ordained by a bishop and officiates at a church." "Is he still recognized by Rome?" "Yes, he still says mass." "Is his saying of mass still recognized by official Roman Catholic authorities as licit?" "Well"—this after half-an-hour's involved, translated give-andtake—"the priest is right now temporarily not recognized by the Vatican."

Several sessions were devoted to explore possibilities of dissuading Americans from participating in the war. The prominent role of black men in the army was discussed. One of the American blacks asked the relevant question: how many black soldiers had defected to the NLF? It took a long time to explain that question. Finally, the answer came forth that all the Vietnamese present were from the North and that they, therefore, did not have such information, "Could we ask some people from the NLF?" "Yes, they'll come in the afternoon."

In the afternoon again much time was spent in making the question clear. Ultimately, of course, it had to be conceded that there was no record of American defections, black or white. (On the other hand, the numbers of South Vietnamese defectors are, of course, vastto the point where the NLF people claim, with considerable credibility, that they train some of their officers by letting them be inducted into Ky's army and having them instructed under U.S. auspices.)

As FAD G75 CHOS 1484 PRE 1845 81 2004 02 109 : country, even as revealed during such a visit of little more than a week, struck me as much

scriptions we have been getting in the press. At the very beginning I had heard a few of the Americans express relief at finally being in a "socialist" country and no volunteered critical observations. I feared, therefore, that this representation of the New Left was buying the Czech party line completely. As the week drew on, however, my initial fear turned out to be unjustified. With no exception that I know of, the Americans became aware of the mindless and repressive society in which we found ourselves. At least within the confines of the American caucus, phrases such as "fascist," "paranoiac," "get out of here with my life," "totalitarian," etc. became quite frequent. Yet, to my knowledge at least, no one but myself confronted any Czech with articulated critic-

There was, however a considerable amount of nonverbal criticism. At first, the Czechs tried to keep us together in supervised places. But American, or New Left, anarchy soon took over. Many of us dispersed through Bratislava in so many directions at the same time that it would have been extremely difficult to keep track of all of us. A black man, a minister, and a student actually conducted a flowerpower demonstration off the central square of the city. Still, in discussing possible future press relations, the Americans stressed that one should mute one's criticism of the Czechs in order to prevent undesirable consequences for the Vietnamese and for future American-Vietnamese contacts—a rather eloquent expression of the stance of New Left people toward Com-

This is precisely the main point about the encounter between the New Left and the real Communists in power. The question whether criticism of the Vietnamese should be muted never came up. It was apparently assumed that there was none. Certainly, none was expressed. The unspoken premise was that the Victnamese were effectively fighting America as it is-and "pas d'ennemies à la gauche combattante." Newsweek quoted an anonymous reporter for Ramparts as having heard Tom Hayden exclaim: "Now we're all Vietcong."

I did not hear such an announcement—but this CIA-RDP70B00338R000200140035-3 certainly was the mood of the gathering.

There were some nasty manifestations of this

r . . .

## Approved For Release 2004/02/09 : CIA-RDP70B00338R000200140035-3

total identification with the Vietnamese Communists. The NLF military expert gave a long presentation of the situation in the field as he saw it and some of the informal and quite brutal guerrilla tactics that had to be used in combating the American aggressors. (This had, the previous evening, been illustrated in one of the propaganda films.) The most one can say of these methods is that they possibly may be necessary, if not desirable, in defense against at least equally brutal and politically even less justified foreign invaders. One might even be prepared to go so far as to say that the Vietnamese who had to practice them might, in order to be able to live with themselves, have to get some kind of personal satisfaction out of these tactics. While some of these blood-curdling tactics were being described, I made it my business not to look at the speaker but to study the American listeners. I am sorry to have to say that, with the exception of some of the members of pacifist, especially Quaker, organizations who maintained straight faces, there was nothing but approval to be seen in any facial expressions, and there were even a few audible chuckles. So far as I know, not a word was ever said about this afterwards.

The American reaction to the Vietnamese ranged all the way from calculated political "popular frontism" to naive to wilfully blind to literally sick to craven. There were, no doubt, some who actually had no idea of what a Communist is. There were surely some who did not want to know and regarded every enemy of American imperialism as a comrade. They inclined, thus, to interpret the new NLF program as if it were an "agrarian reform" document. There was certainly a representation of the sick, who outraged one or the other Czech puritan Communist by talking, mostly in the language of "shit," about nonmarital sex relations and the abortions of girl friends. What most of the Americans had in common was the belief that anyone who batters the American Establishment effectively makes a contribution to the defeat of capitalist imperialism and he may not be criticized in any way, for fear of detracting from his effectiveness.

I, Approved For Release 2004/02/1991 conclusion: radicalism at this point can consist only of a radically realistic view of the utterly

hopeless situation in which we find ourselves: American capitalist imperialism is flooding much of the world with blood and vulgarity, while neither "coalition politics," nor "old-style socialism," nor the New Left have any relationship to the real world or hold out any hope for political effectiveness—and "the socialist blocs" not only exhibit no significant regaining of humane or humanist values but are, in fact, widening the destructive circle. Still, because this is the only way we know to be human, we try to embody and to advocate radically libertarian social ideals.

Lincoln Wolfenstein

## The Tragedy of J. Robert Oppenheimer

N FEBRUARY 18, 1967, the life of J. Robert Oppenheimer came to an end. Its history, probed with such agonizing detail in the 1954 AEC Security Board Hearings, dramatizes the dilemma of the American scientist in the twentieth century.

To appreciate this history, we must have a view of Oppenheimer (or Opje, as he was called) before World War II, in those days before the scientists knew sin. There is no disagreement among the many observers: Opje was a brilliant analytical thinker, an intellectual with a striking variety of interests, and a natural leader of men. "... my admiration for his intelligence," writes Haakon Chevalier, "his judgment, and his character had gradually led me to the conviction that a high destiny awaited him."<sup>2</sup>

1 U.S. Atome Energy Commission, "In the Matter of J. Robert Oppenheimer," Transcript of Hearing before Personnel Security Board, U.S. Government Printing Office (1954).

n Establishment effectively makes a contribuon to the defeat of capitalist imperialism and may not be criticized in any way, for fear detracting from his effectiveness.

I.Afoproved and this point are consistent and the control of the matter of the mat

toward Oppenheimer, it is a fascinating and instructive document.